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Introduction

Violence against women and girls (VAWG)\(^1\) remains a pervasive societal issue and constitutes a serious source of harm. It is a major human rights violation and a public health concern, with serious long-term consequences for the physical, emotional and mental wellbeing of survivors, who are predominantly women and girls (World Health Organisation, 2021). The COVID-19 pandemic has created a further ‘conducive context’ with increased levels of reported domestic abuse leading to greater concern about the consequences for survivors’ mental wellbeing at a time when isolation is marked, abuse is expanded and access to support significantly constrained (AVA, 2021; Banga and Roy, 2020; Roesch et al., 2020). So grave is this situation, that it has been referred to as a “pandemic within a pandemic” and a “dual pandemic” (Banga and Roy, 2021), which has impacted differentially on diverse groups of survivors.

The direct relationship between domestic violence and abuse (DVA), sexual violence and mental ill-health\(^2\) has been widely documented in research (Aitken and Munro, 2018; Howard et al., 2010a, 2010b; Humphreys and Thiara, 2003; Oram et al., 2017; Rees et al., 2011; Scott and McManus, 2016), though there is limited evidence for Black and minoritised women. Although an under-reported crime, available data suggests that women are more likely to be subjected to domestic and sexual violence (ONS, 2020\(^3\)). Numerous studies have shown how DVA is rarely confined to a single incident or form of abuse, but rather involves multiple forms of abuse permeating all aspects of women’s day to day lives, intended to maintain the perpetrator’s coercive control over women and children (Department of Health, 2005; Myhill, 2015). Not only are women’s mental health outcomes impacted by all forms of DVA (physical, sexual, emotional, psychological, economic and technological), but the pervasiveness and severity of abuse are known to escalate over time, exacerbating the impact on women and compromising their mental health (Ferrari et al., 2014). Women are also less likely to report mental ill-health that results from this violence and abuse (Chandan et al., 2019). Significantly, abuse often continues or intensifies in the post-separation period (Brownridge, 2006; Brownridge, 2006).

---

\(^1\) While we know men also experience violence and abuse and mental ill-health, this literature review focuses on women who are disproportionately impacted by VAWG. Notably, the most common forms of VAWG encompassed in the research in this field are domestic and sexual violence.

\(^2\) Mental ill-health refers to a spectrum of mild to severe, undiagnosed and diagnosed, emotional distress.

\(^3\) The Crime Survey for England and Wales (2020) showed that an estimated 2.3 million adults aged 16 to 74 years experienced domestic abuse in the last year, of which 1.6 million were women and 757,000 men.
A range of factors limits women’s access to safety and support for themselves and their children, and women often endure many years of serious abuse before separation occurs (Meyer, 2015). Critically, Black and minoritised women endure more than this in the length and breadth of abuse and they also face additional barriers to accessing services and securing safety and recovery for themselves and their children (Kanyeredzi, 2013; Rehman et al., 2013; Siddiqui and Patel, 2010; Siddiqui, 2018; Thiara and Roy, 2020).

Literature has consistently shown that those affected by domestic and sexual violence are at increased risk of depression, anxiety, post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), self-harm, suicidal ideation and attempts (see Chandan et al., 2019; Devries et al., 2011; Rees et al., 2011). These are particularly acute when violence is severe, of long duration, or where women cannot access support, factors that are all associated with Black and minoritised women’s experiences of both abuse and help-seeking. The co-occurrence of DVA and mental ill-health in diverse health care settings has been well established: research on prevalence of DVA in psychiatric settings shows that up to 30% of female inpatients and almost 33% of female outpatients experienced lifetime DVA (Howard et al., 2010b; Oram et al., 2017); women accessing mental health support were three times more likely than women in the general population to have experienced DVA in the past year, and over two-thirds since the age of 16 (Khalifeh et al., 2015). Female inpatients face a higher risk of sexual violence from patients, visitors and staff in NHS Mental Health Trust wards, highlighting ways that the mental health system itself can inflict institutional forms of harm (Care Quality Commission, 2018). As widely noted in the literature, the interconnection between DVA and mental ill-health is complex (Fox, 2018; Holly, 2017; Oram et al., 2017). Women may experience mental health challenges prior to, during, and after DVA, and these may also be impacted by other negative life experiences and intersecting structural inequalities. These have a particular consequence for Black and minoritised women (Chantler et al., 2003; Kanyeredzi, 2018; Rehman et al., 2013; Thiara and Roy, 2020).

Whilst the research on DVA has both widened and deepened in theoretical and empirical terms, and recent research on the linkage between mental ill-health and domestic and sexual violence has provided valuable insight, much of this wider literature has little to say about the intersection of DVA and mental ill-health for Black and minoritised women (AVA, 2021; Femi-Ajoa, 2018; Siddiqui and Patel, 2010; Stockman et al., 2015).
Methodology

Recognising the inadequate and/or fragmented nature of knowledge about Black and minoritised women, DVA and mental health/wellbeing, this literature review is focused on three significant areas:

- Evidence of the linkage between DVA and mental health/wellbeing for Black and minoritised women.
- Barriers facing Black and minoritised survivors attempting to access support for mental health/wellbeing in the context of DVA.
- Support valued by survivors in the recovery of their mental wellbeing from ‘by and for’4 Black and minoritised organisations and specialist women’s services.

The narrative literature review examined several sources of knowledge, which included published peer-reviewed research articles, unpublished research and other grey literature5. Given the limited amount of funded research in this area and the development of practice in responding to mental ill-health encountered by specialist services supporting Black and minoritised survivors, research and evaluation reports and good practice toolkits published by Black and minoritised organisations constitute an important source of insight and were included in the review. Not only does this bring visibility to the experiences and needs of Black and minoritised women from their own perspectives and those who work closely with them, but it also provides important direction and recommendations for service and practice development. Given the limited and dated nature of much of the existing research, the review included research from the 1980s across three broad fields of knowledge: ‘race’/ethnicity and mental health, Black and minoritised women and VAWG, and Black and minoritised women and mental health and wellbeing.

Data from studies were analysed thematically as they related to each of the three focused areas covered by the review. It was not the intention of the review to generate new data, but to map the state of knowledge on the links between DVA and mental

---

4 ‘By and for’ provision is defined by Imkaan and End Violence Against Women coalition (2020) as specialist dedicated services that are delivered in consultation with or which directly involve Black and minoritised survivors. They are trusted by women because they recognise and understand the multiple forms of disadvantage and discrimination experienced by Black and minoritised women.

5 Literature that is not formally published in books or journals, for example third sector organisations’ reports.
health and wellbeing for Black and minoritised women, the barriers they encounter, and what they find helpful in supporting their recovery.

This literature review was conducted to inform the campaign by Women's Aid Federation of England launched in September 2021 – #DeserveToBeHeard.

Terminology

We use the term ‘Black and minoritised’ to refer to women who have been subject to racist processes of marginalisation and exclusion; however, we also recognise that it is a broad term that encompasses women from a wide range of backgrounds and can overlook differences within these groups (AVA, 2021). Black and minoritised survivors may be migrants, refugees, asylum seekers, and women who have been trafficked and forced into sex work or domestic servitude. This collective term is used as indicative of common experiences of racism being as important as cultural or other differences. The research reviewed uses a range of terms, including ethnic minorities, BME, BAME, South Asian, West Indian, Afro-Caribbean and Pakistani, for instance; ‘race’ and ethnicity are also used within bodies of literature focused on Black and minoritised groups. Where citing specific pieces of work, therefore, the terms adopted within that research are used.

When referring to issues of mental health and wellbeing, again different terms are used in the literature reviewed. Most commonly used terms are mental health, psychiatric illness, mental ill-health, psychological distress, and mental distress, whilst some research has explored a specific issue such as depression, suicide or self-harm. In underlining mental ill-health as a normal response to violations, there is a growing body of work which critiques the role of pathologising labels for distress created by DVA and other forms of abuse. Our preferred terms are mental health/wellbeing and mental ill-health, used to capture the complex range of adverse effects generated by overlapping facets of DVA and other intersecting forms of oppression within a health inequalities framework (see, for example Bignall et al., 2019).

---

6 To pathologise (and also to medicalise) assumes individual responsibility for health and refers to the attribution of physical and mental health issues to individual behaviours and traits, i.e. to pathologies effectively labels and blames the victim. This fails to take account of the political and social context of health (including racism, gender violence, poverty, disability, homophobia, transphobia) and the critical significance of health inequalities to the health and well-being of individuals and groups.
Similarly, terms such as interpersonal conflict, marital discord, and unhappy marriage are used in some of the surveyed literature when referring to DVA. It is now known that using such terms can hide DVA and fails to recognise its gendered nature. Again, for clarity and to focus discussion, the terms used by particular researchers will be used when findings are reported, while our preferred term is DVA and other forms of abuse.

Since the focus of the review is on women, who are disproportionately impacted by VAWG, when referring to those subjected to DVA and other forms of abuse, the terms women and survivors are used interchangeably.

Reviewing literature across several decades not only raises issues of terminology, but also that of conceptualisation. The review of the literature shows that there have been many shifts over time to accommodate developments in the way we think about the relationship between the individual, the cultural and the structural, and to offer more nuanced explanations about the intersecting nature and impact of oppression. It is evident that some earlier studies prioritised reductionist and static notions of culture, attributing responsibility and blame to internal cultural factors, as reflected in the notion of ‘culture conflict’, whilst overlooking issues of racism and other forms of discrimination. Intersectionality7 as an analytical concept and the nuanced insight it provides was little known at the time. Some literature contains pathologising content, using an individual deficit model8 to explain mental ill-health. Some studies also reflect an inadequate understanding of DVA, often subsuming it under a degendered notion of marital discord (viewing domestic abuse as arguments between couples, rather than power and control wielded by a man over a woman), and thus obscuring the extent and depth of its impact on mental health/wellbeing. However, noting this is not to dismiss the insight provided by such literature, which is of great value in developing an understanding of the specific issues encountered by Black and minoritised women in the UK.

While there are links and commonalities with white women’s experiences and concerns, a recognition that Black and minoritised women are positioned in systemically unequal ways in terms of their access to and responses from services, as well as health

---

7 The concept of intersectionality was developed by Crenshaw in the US (Crenshaw, 1991), however, the idea of multiplicity existed within Black feminism in the US and UK. It refers to a way of analysing the interconnected nature of oppression and discrimination based on gender, ‘race’, class, age, disability, sexuality and other modes of oppression to understand the simultaneous oppression an individual or groups may experience. This intersection creates experiences which are specific, complex and contradictory.

8 An individual deficit model attributes mental ill-health to deficiencies within an individual person and puts the onus on the individual herself to overcome mental ill-health.
outcomes, is a key starting point for this review. Indeed, the specific experiences of Black and minoritised women are highlighted in the literature to enhance understanding of the specific issues they encounter, as well as to inform service development which takes account of their intersectional location. Further, to challenge the tenuous notion of an internal homogeneity, it is recognised that whilst Black and minoritised women face common experiences of marginalisation and racism they are also a heterogenous group with differentiated experiences.

The literature review is organised according to the three areas, which, in short, include: evidence of the linkage; barriers faced; and support valued by survivors. It concludes with a summary section that pulls together the key issues highlighted by the review.
Section 1: Evidence of the linkage between DVA and mental health/wellbeing for Black and minoritised women

Insight on Black and minoritised women, DVA, and mental health has to be derived from three broad fields of knowledge: ‘race’/ethnicity and mental health, Black and minoritised women and VAWG, and Black and minoritised women and mental health and wellbeing. This section presents evidence of the linkage identified in the reviewed literature.

‘Race’/ethnicity, racism and mental health
Exploring the complex relationship between ‘race’/ethnicity, mental health and racism in the UK has a long history both in research and practice and highlights well documented concerns. Research from the early 1990s exposed health inequalities in access, treatment and outcomes for Black and minoritised groups within mental health services (Ahmad, 1992; Bhui et al., 2003; Fernando, 1991). It draws attention to the significance of a range of interpersonal and structural social conditions and institutional factors, including racism, that contribute to the experience of mental ill-health, are relevant to the diagnosis and treatment of mental ill-health, and affect access to services and interventions (Synergi Collaborative Centre, 2018; Henderson et al., 2018; Royal College of Psychiatrists, 2018). When the relationship between social inequalities and mental health is examined, the evidence about the interface between racism and mental health conditions (particularly depression) is compelling (Synergi Collaborative Centre, 2018; Khan, 2017; Moller et al., 2016; Wallace et al., 2016), and the role of racism in eroding mental health and wellbeing and reproducing intergenerational trauma is repeatedly underlined (AVA, 2021; Bignall et al., 2019).

The ways in which racism perpetuates health inequalities is evident in how Black and minoritised groups access, or are deterred from accessing, forms of help and support, especially through statutory/mainstream mental health services (Synergi Collaborative Centre, 2018). It is known that access to mental health support is lowest amongst groups suffering the greatest disadvantage (NHS Digital, 2016; Royal College of Psychiatrists, 2018). Enduring inequality based on ‘race’/ethnicity in access to mental health service provision has been repeatedly documented, despite government efforts to address this, and the absence of change attributed to systemic inequality.

9 A health inequalities approach rejects a deficit or individual pathology model, emphasising that such a model focuses on what an individual is lacking and holds them responsible for their health rather than examining how social inequalities shape the health outcomes of marginalised groups.
institutional discrimination and the culture of statutory services (see Bignall et al., 2019; Kalathil et al., 2011; Kapadia et al., 2017; Moller et al., 2016; Prajapati and Liebling, 2021). At primary care level, research has shown that GPs are less likely to recognise mental health issues among South Asian groups, for instance, and are less likely to make a referral to specialist mental health services than for other ethnic groups (Bhui et al., 2003). Moreover, South Asian groups are more likely to receive psychiatric medication than a referral for talking therapies (Hussain and Cochrane, 2002).

In relation to African and African-Caribbean groups, a number of acute concerns have been identified about their treatment in mainstream services, including inappropriate and sometimes coercive care (NHS Digital, 2017). This relates not only to treatment by mental health services, for example through higher rates of diagnosis of schizophrenia and higher compulsory hospital admissions (Barnett et al., 2019), but also in criminal justice systems (Kent, Surrey and Sussex Community Rehabilitation Company, 2020; Gangoli et al., 2019; Walker, 2020; Khan et al., 2017). Here, research evidence has established inappropriate criminalisation of what are mental health issues, and a lack of recognition when those on remand or convicted have a mental health challenge or may be suicidal (Sturge, 2018; Kalathil et al., 2011). Further, the dominant influence of stereotypes about African-Caribbean service users as being ‘mad, bad or dangerous’ within mainstream services and in shaping ‘racist social exclusion’ has been highlighted (Adkison-Bradley et al., 2009; McLean et al., 2003: 662). This is asserted to affect the whole process in mainstream mental health provision: diagnosis, assessment, critical care, treatment, medication and aftercare. Lack of understanding and, related to this, misinterpretation of normal behaviour as pathological (that is, attributed to a mental illness or condition) is noted to lead to misdiagnosis (McLean et al., 2003). Across other studies, institutional racism has been found to be embedded in services, and to act as a strong disincentive to approach services. However, when they do enter the mental health system, it is known that African-Caribbean service users are more likely treated with medication rather than non-drug treatment (Bignall et al., 2019; McLean et al., 2003).

Recent research has generated valuable insight into the abuse and trauma experienced by refugees and asylum seekers as part of their migration journeys and post-migration experiences, often inflicted by multiple perpetrators. The greater likelihood of poor mental health is explained through an examination of the inherent racism and discrimination in the UK, resulting in a lack of appropriate or accessible health services. For some migrants, the ever-present threat of deportation and lack of recourse to public funds increases mental distress and deters help-seeking (Mental Health Foundation, 2016; Turrini, 2017). Sexual violence has been identified as pervading the
asylum-seeking process and a common cause of PTSD amongst women (Girma et al., 2014; Psarros, 2014; Refugee Council, 2009; WHEC et al., 2016). Hence, it has been found that ‘the pathway into mental health care for ethnic minority people is generally unremittingly aversive’ (Synergi Collaborative Centre, 2018:7).

**Differing conceptualisations of mental ill-health**

Psychiatric diagnoses are known to oversimplify and obscure significant and complex sociocultural processes such as racial trauma and socioeconomic inequality, leading to reductionist and medicalised approaches to understanding emotional distress that cannot accurately represent the lived experiences of all groups (Prajapati and Liebling, 2021:2).

Debate about mental ill-health, symptoms, causes and in particular people’s own descriptions of it in the 1980s-1990s raised some important issues about inter-cultural meanings of mental health. It highlighted that while there may be common ideas about mental health and illness, significant differences can also be found in the cultural expression of mental distress among different communities (Fenton and Sadiq-Sangster, 1996). Research with South Asian women into how people describe their ‘illnesses’, for instance, showed that they had a clear understanding of varying types of mental ‘illness’ and its links to physical health. However, they described mental distress in culturally and linguistically specific ways, which differed from English speakers, but shared features of ‘depression’ (Fenton and Sadiq-Sangster, 1996:66). Individual constructions of mental ill-health among some South Asian groups has been the focus of some research in the UK (see Currer, 1984 Fenton and Sadiq-Sangster, 1996), as well as amongst women of African and Caribbean heritage (Adkison-Bradley et al., 2009; McLean et al., 2003). Among the issues highlighted are differing conceptions of distress and its causes. Pathan women in Currer’s (1984) study, for instance, viewed distress differently, where a sense of hopelessness, core to depression, was seen as being ‘in God’s hands’ and not as being ‘ill’; a somatisation of mental ill-health, where women use physiological descriptions and symbolism to express their distress, such as a ‘sinking heart’ (dil ghirda hai) used to describe social circumstances such as the absence of close family. The heart has been noted by some to be central to how South Asian women describe distress, as ‘thinking too much in my heart’ where ‘thinking in my heart indicates thoughts inextricable from the emotions which accompany them’ (Fenton and Sadiq-Sangster, 1996:75-76). Others have also found that Black and minoritised women

---

10 Somatisation refers to when emotional and psychological conditions or mental ill-health are either experienced as physical symptoms, or physical symptoms are used to express emotional feelings. In particular, when there is contact with medical practitioners, this can mean that there is misunderstanding and failure to fully understand an individual’s mental distress.
attributed mental distress to social, political and economic pressures from within (family and community) and outside (racism, bullying), which created a sense of extreme isolation and silence. Consequently, South Asian and African-Caribbean women resorted to their own strategies and resources to deal with mental ill-health rather than speak out (Adkison-Bradley et al., 2009; Chew-Graham et al., 2002; McLean et al., 2003). The under-reporting of mental ill-health as a result of conceptualising distress differently has been repeatedly highlighted in the literature (see Fenton and Sadiq-Sangster, 1996).

The link between culture and expression of mental distress has raised the question in the literature of whether conceptualisation and expression of mental health and illness are culturally specific rather than universal categories. It is suggested that psychiatric categories such as depression, constructed in Western psychiatry and also, importantly, contested within the West, especially in relation to gender and mental health (see, for example, Watson, 2019), may have limited utility in other social and cultural contexts. More broadly, a critique has been made of the dominance of a Eurocentric model of mental health services, epitomised by the ‘one-size fits all’ approaches found in healthcare, which overlooks the norms and values of marginalised beliefs and cultures (Fernando and Keating, 2008). This model is regarded to assume that adopting a Western understanding of mental ill-health will improve service use and outcomes for all cultural groups (Prajapati and Liebling, 2021:17). The literature on different conceptualisations of mental health and illness provides interesting insight which can inform the ways in which interventions are framed and implemented for diverse groups of Black and minoritised survivors.

Further, there is long-standing critique of the concept of trauma, particularly amongst feminists and Black activists, which is critiqued for its lack of analytical clarity, its overuse, and the development of a ‘trauma industry’. In particular, its application is often seen to minimise or ignore the significance of structural conditions and oppression, including in relation to ‘race’ and gender (see for example, Tseris, 2017). More recently, a challenge to the medicalisation and pathologisation of pain and distress through (unregulated) psychiatric diagnosis has been developed and provides a compelling argument for the reframing of responses to survivors through alternatives to the diagnostic approach (Watson, 2019). Within a context of DVA and in strengthening responses to survivors, great emphasis has been placed on developing

11 Although the emphasis on cultural context guards against ethnocentric conceptions of mental illness, caution is also advised against regarding culture as static and fixed, especially where victim-blaming redirects attention from other structural factors in influencing mental health (Ahmad, 1993).
trauma-informed services which are underpinned by a clear understanding of what is meant by trauma and the wider social contexts that re/produce it beyond the individual. For instance, Women's Aid uses trauma to describe abusive actions done to women that cause harm as part of a wider context of sexism and misogyny and their intersection with other forms of inequality (see Women's Aid, 2021a). With regard to Black and minoritised survivors, the importance of considering intergenerational trauma, resulting from historic and contemporary racialised oppression and violence, has also been emphasized (see AVA, 2021).

**Violence against Black and minoritised women and intersectional context**

Literature on violence against Black and minoritised women offers some insight into how DVA and other forms of abuse impact on women’s mental health and wellbeing, although this is often part of a wider exploration of their experiences of violence and abuse, help-seeking and the barriers encountered. In particular, this literature highlights how structural violence intersects with interpersonal/familial violence to amplify the impact on women’s mental wellbeing. That intersectional location and situational context shape Black and minoritised women’s experiences of violence and abuse, mental ill-health, and the responses received from services and professionals is repeatedly underlined. Indeed, Black and minoritised women’s narratives across studies reveal the intersecting nature of violence and abuse with other structural inequalities, in what can be considered a web of (sometimes extreme) coercive control and a ‘continuum of oppression’ (see Anitha, 2010; Kanyeredzi, 2018; Rehman et al., 2013; Thiara and Roy, 2020). This both reflects broader findings about commonalities in women’s experiences and the serious impact of intersecting abuse, but also extends this by providing nuanced insights into the lived experiences and wellbeing of Black and minoritised women.

There is evidence that Black and minoritised women have to contend with additional experiences that amplify the impact of DVA and other forms of abuse, with serious implications for their mental health and wellbeing (Karasz, 2005; Siddiqui, 2018), both within and outside of their specific cultural contexts and communities. There is strong evidence suggesting that Black and minoritised women take longer to seek help and suffer abuse for longer. The longer time spent in abusive contexts has been highlighted to result in more frequent and greater levels of more serious violence, for some at the hands of multiple family members, leading to ‘social entrapment’ resulting from the combination of sexism, racism and immigration status (Anitha, 2010; Kanyeredzi, 2018; Rehman et. al., 2013; Thiara, 2013; Thiara and Roy, 2010). The resulting levels of extreme social isolation, also identified as a key factor in the mental health literature as marking the situations of those who are likely to report mental ill-health, is widely noted,
especially for those women migrating for marriage purposes without any family in the UK and who may also lack secure immigration status (Hague et al., 2010). An absence of positive friendships and community networks has been identified as a key factor in enduring mental ill-health in the post-separation period (Chantler, 2003; Thiara, 2020). Amongst the issues highlighted in the literature are the coercion and intimidation endured from multiple people; abuse of insecure immigration status; specific forms of harm such as forced marriage, honour-related violence, and female genital mutilation; and the structural and cultural barriers to seeking help, all of which intersect to restrict women's 'space for action' (Chantler, 2012; Chantler and Gangoli, 2011; Gill, 2009; Gill and Anitha, 2011; Roach and Momoh, 2013; Sharp-Jeffs et al., 2018; Thiara and Roy, 2020; Wilson, 2007). Stigma and shame and notions of izzat (a concept which refers to reputation, prestige and honour) and honour are widely highlighted in the literature to point to the ways in which these are culturally mediated and create specific impacts. While caution is needed in viewing shame as only and exclusively characteristic of the experiences of Black and minoritised women, the role of gendered shame and its associated concept of izzat in exacerbating women's mental ill-health through depression, anxiety and attempted suicide and self-harm is underlined, particularly in relation to South Asian women.

With regard to Black women, literature in the US, and increasingly in the UK, has also identified the role of the Strong Black Woman (SBW) stereotype and its association with strength, self-reliance and unconditional caregiving. Whilst this may enable Black women some protection against challenging life events resulting from racialised sexism and gendered racism, it also limits women's healthy coping (Graham and Clarke, 2021). The adoption and imposition of this stereotype can impact on women's wellbeing and result in greater negative mental health outcomes (Donovan and West, 2015; Graham and Clarke, 2021; Harrington et al, 2010; Kanyeredzi, 2018; Thiara and Roy, 2020). The SBW, also more recently referred to as 'toxic Black femininity', is considered to be a survival response to exploitation during slavery and passed intergenerationally through socialisation (Beauboeuf-Lafontant, 2009). These concepts – of izzat, honour, SBW - have also been acknowledged to prevent women from seeking help for mental ill-health in contexts of DVA and other forms of abuse.

Alongside these contexts of abuse, as discussed later, many Black and minoritised women remain under-informed about support services or are reluctant to involve them; experience racism and discrimination from services-professionals; have few/no social networks; lack access to services due to insecure immigration status; fear being stigmatised and shamed within their communities; and lack the economic options to carve out independent lives (AVA 2021; Bignall et al., 2019; Burman and Chantler, 2005;
Clearly, the contexts in which violence is experienced and the barriers to positive interventions all have very specific implications for the mental health/wellbeing and recovery of Black and minoritised survivors.

**Black and minoritised women, DVA and mental health/wellbeing**

Whilst underlining the role of ‘race’/racism, the early literature on ‘race’/ethnicity and mental health was largely silent on the experiences of Black and minoritised women (Aitken, 1996; Burman et al., 1998; Wilson, 2001). However, research from the late 1990s sought to address this gap (Bhugra et al., 1999; Chantler et al., 2001; Merrill and Owens, 1986; Soni-Raleigh, 1996; Yazdani, 1998), and emphasised the need to recognise how multiple forms of oppression and disadvantage contributed to mental ill-health, in addition to racism. This included gender (Abel and Newbigging, 2018), sexuality (Semlyen et al., 2016), disability (Turner et al., 2006), nationality, migration (Singh, 2012) and poverty (Boardman et al., 2015; Mills, 2015). It remains the case that while the research base continues to grow, there are gaps in our knowledge base, and we know even less about some minoritised groups of women (Bignall et al., 2019).

Much of the reviewed literature explores factors contributing to the experiences of mental ill-health amongst specific groups of Black and minoritised women, most commonly different groups of South Asian women, and does not directly address the links between mental ill-health and DVA. Information on this linkage has to be extrapolated from the wider research findings. It is evident from some of these studies, however, that DVA and other forms of violence are key factors in shaping mental ill-health for a significant number of women. Hicks and Bhugra (2003), for example, found a strong association between marital violence, depression and suicidal behaviour for South Asian women. Others indicate that as many as half of all Asian women living in the UK who have attempted suicide or self-harm have suffered DVA (Chantler et al., 2001; Pande, 2017). Similarly, Karasz’s (2005) review found that depression amongst Asian women strongly overlapped with what they describe as marital conflict. A recent review confirmed the significance of the relationship between DVA and mental health, more specifically depression, anxiety, PTSD, self-harm and disturbed sleep patterns (Kaur-Aujla et al., 2019). In an evaluation of a specialist intervention with survivors, a majority of those interviewed attributed a direct causal link between their mental health problems and the violence and abuse they had endured and survived (Siddiqui and Patel, 2010:5). In this study, women reported high levels of mental health difficulties and mentioned a wide range of severe impacts on their emotional and mental wellbeing, described by one woman as resulting in being ‘scarred for life’ (Siddiqui and Patel, 2010:64).
A recent study identified how high levels of mental ill-health were experienced over long periods by women subjected to overlapping forms of abuse, including sexual violence. It also revealed the obstacles that were encountered when attempting to seek help. This reflected levels of disbelief on the part of agencies and their dis-engagement with Black and minoritised women’s disclosures of abuse and mental ill-health (Thiara and Roy, 2020). More recent research on women with no recourse to public funds (NRPF), asylum-seeking and migrant women has noted high levels of mental distress, which results from abuse endured, intense social isolation, poverty and financial hardship (Dudhia, 2020; Thiara, 2020). Research on asylum-seeking women in the UK who had fled gender-based violence highlighted the serious negative impact on their mental health of rejected claims for asylum support and consequent poverty and homelessness, with 95% reporting feeling depressed and attempting to kill themselves (Dudhia, 2020). Similarly, another recent study showed that feeling/being insecure in relation to immigration and the discrimination that ensues entrenched mental distress without recourse to much needed support. It was found that uncertainty, primarily about their immigration status, even after they left the abusive context, caused high levels of depression and anxiety for women who were unable to rebuild their lives (Dudhia, 2020 Sharma and Marsh, 2017; Thiara, 2020). Many were on medication for depression and anxiety and talked about suicide ideation and/or actual suicide attempts. Helplessness and a lack of accessible therapeutic support, as a result of NRPF, entrenched women’s trauma and sense of a lack of physical and psychological safety.

The need for an exploration of mental health difficulties to consider contextual factors, vulnerability factors and life events in triggering these has been widely underlined across the literature from the 2000s. In order to understand the complexity and nuanced nature of Black and minoritised women’s mental health and wellbeing resulting from overlapping forms of abuse, a consideration of the impact of their intersectional location for mental health outcomes has been repeatedly emphasized. This underlines the importance of considering women’s broader contexts (Chew-Graham et al., 2002), both within communities (gender oppression) and externally (racism and discrimination). Literature notes a range of interconnected factors that contribute to mental ill-health, which include DVA and other forms of abuse, immigration uncertainty wrought by a racist immigration system, discriminatory responses from mainstream provision, racism, poverty, isolation and homelessness, further exploitation from within their own communities to women in vulnerable situations, and issues of loss (Chantler, 2003:37; Gask et. al., 2011; Thiara and Roy, 2020). As noted by Chantler (2003) ‘considerations and understandings of domestic violence and distress also need to be located within the context of national and local
housing policy, racial harassment, national and international policies on immigration, as well as cultural concepts such as honour and shame’ (2003:37). Thus, the intersection of specific abuse contexts with structural inequality intensify and further nuance the experiences of mental health/wellbeing for Black and minoritised women.

It can be argued, as earlier suggested by Golding (1999) that for Black and minoritised women, the relationship between DVA and other forms of abuse and mental ill-health is strong – based on the extent of the association, and the ways in which this is demonstrated over time and in different contexts. As well as confirming this relationship between DVA and mental health and wellbeing for Black and minoritised women, the review also noted a significant linkage with systemic inequalities, racism, distress, and trauma (AVA, 2021; Bignall, et al., 2019).

**Black and minoritised women and mental health and wellbeing**

Women from various BME communities have similar experiences of domestic violence and mental health problems, which include religious and cultural pressures, immigration and asylum issues, suicide and self-harm, racism and discrimination, low socio-economic status or financial hardship, and the role of the health service in medicalising them or ignoring their needs rather than providing counselling or social welfare support (Siddiqui and Patel, 2010:14).

In the range of impacts noted in the research, DVA and other forms of abuse are widely reported to have numerous negative effects on women’s mental health/wellbeing. Among the adverse effects reported are depression, anxiety, and post-traumatic stress, and such consequences are recognised risks for suicide and suicidality (suicidal thoughts, plans and attempts). This is reported to be significantly higher for survivors of DVA and those subjected to chronic overlapping forms of abuse (Pico-Alfonso et al., 2006). Alongside such shared issues, Black and minoritised women experience several additional difficulties as a result of their intersecting experiences of racism and sexism/misogyny, as already noted, which serve to entrap them in contexts of extreme abuse for prolonged periods and thus increase the risk of severe mental ill-health that may lead to serious self-harm and suicidal ideation (see the following discussion on ‘Suicide and self-harm’).

The abuse in their personal lives is reinforced by structural violence, through a hostile immigration and asylum system and discriminatory service responses, which further undermines women’s wellbeing, (AVA, 2021; Gangoli et al., 2019; Femi-Ajao et al., 2020; Siddiqui and Patel, 2010).
The barriers encountered in securing affirming support shape the impacts of DVA and other forms of abuse such that the longer length of abuse endured, lack of social networks, stigma linked to mental ill-health amongst their families and communities, and high levels of social isolation all increase the possibility of women developing mental ill-health. Disproportionately high levels of Black and minoritised women have self-harmed, contemplated suicide, and attempted or committed suicide, with DVA found to be a causal or contributory factor rather than any pre-existing or diagnosed psychiatric illness (Siddiqui and Patel, 2010:9).

Key effects of DVA on Black and minoritised women's mental health and wellbeing reported across the literature:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anxiety</th>
<th>Confusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>Detachment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erosion of sense of self</td>
<td>Extreme anger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eating and sleeping problems (insomnia)</td>
<td>Stress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low self-esteem</td>
<td>Inability to trust in others</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guilt and self-blame</td>
<td>Fear and shame</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety and panic attacks</td>
<td>Memory loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feelings of shame and degradation</td>
<td>Murderous thoughts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extreme fear</td>
<td>Feelings of helplessness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inability to cope and make decisions</td>
<td>Self-harm, suicidal thoughts and suicide attempts</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Suicide and self-harm

The link between DVA and suicidality began to be made in the mid-1990s, especially in the US, showing that those abused by a partner were more likely than non-abused women to make suicide attempts (see Stark and Flitcraft, 1995). Among Black and minoritised women, this link was found to be higher than for white women, with research in the US and later in the UK suggesting that the suicide risk is greater for abused Black and minoritised women, including migrants and refugees, as a result of structural and cultural factors (Chantler, 2003; Colucci and Montesinos, 2013; Devries et al., 2011; Siddiqui and Patel, 2010). In their study of African-American women, Kaslow et al. (1998) found higher rates of physical and non-physical partner abuse among those who attempted suicide than amongst those who did not. Women who had attempted suicide also reported higher levels of distress, hopelessness and substance use, however, they were less likely to attempt suicide if they had access to social support (Kaslow et al., 1998). They emphasised:

‘...the importance of carefully assessing suicidal ideation and intent in women who are victims of partner abuse and for partner abuse in women who present with suicidal ideation, suicide attempts, or both... hopelessness and psychological symptoms should be evaluated thoroughly in women who are victims of intimate partner violence’ (Kaslow et al., 1998:538).

A number of UK studies in the 1990s, largely on South Asian women, explored the disproportionality in rates of self-harm and suicide where very few had any pre-existing history of psychiatric illness (Bhugra et al., 1999; Chantler et al., 2001; Merrill and Owens, 1986; Soni-Raleigh, 1996; Yazdani, 1998). Soni-Raleigh et al.’s (1992) study was among the first to highlight the high rate of suicide in young Asian women compared to other groups, with high levels of suicide by burning, comprising 20% compared to 2% in the general population (Soni-Raleigh and Balarajan, 1992). The suicide rate among Indian and East African (majority were Indian) women aged 15-24 was almost three times greater than the national rate (1992:366). Others have also reinforced these findings, showing that risks of self-harm, suicide attempts and deaths by suicide are two-and-a-half times higher for Asian women aged under 30 than for white women, with self-poisoning being the most common method of self-harm (Bhugra et al., 1999; Merrill and Owens, 1986; Patel and Gaw, 1996). This rate in attempted suicide was found to be similar among those aged under 30 in the ‘other’ category which included refugees, Polish, Spanish and others from mainland Europe (Bhugra et al., 1999:1129). In their earlier study of self-poisoning among Caribbean and white groups, Merrill and Owens (1987) also found that Caribbean patients in Birmingham were more likely to be young, female and single, who had not received previous psychiatric treatment or been
diagnosed with a personality disorder or alcoholism and had not self-poisoned previously. In explaining a similar finding for Asian groups, ‘culture conflict’ (the idea of culture conflict, while sometimes still persisting, has been widely critiqued) and marital problems (reported by over a half of women) were identified as key causative factors by Merrill and Owens (1986). These earlier studies attribute higher rates among the young to a range of internal cultural factors: ‘interpersonal disputes particularly in relation to marriage and lifestyles, the pressures of economic competition with the loss of self-esteem associated with failure, and the anxiety attached to non-conformist behaviour’, though there is some recognition also of racism as a factor in some studies (Soni-Raleigh and Balarajan, 1992:367). The need to provide appropriate support and counselling services to young women experiencing mental ill-health was also underlined by such research, especially through counselling services based within local communities rather than through mainstream external agencies.

Later research reinforced some of these earlier findings and also noted higher levels of vulnerability to suicide and self-harm amongst young Asian women (Bhardwaj, 2001; Chantler et al., 2001; Patel, 2005). Bhardwaj (2001) highlighted the marginalisation of their voices and a perception of self-harm as a way of coping with stress and distress in circumstances in which they felt they had no control: ‘The language of despair defeat and self-annihilation was pervasive’ (Bhardwaj, 2001:57), something also noted by Gilbert et al. (2004). Young women who felt they had little power and control in their lives identified various contributory factors to self-harm and attempted suicide: competing expectations in the UK; gender inequalities; racism; male domination; and social, religious and intergenerational pressure. Young women were aware that their behaviour could jeopardise the whole family and result in the loss of family honour, and that violence and abuse were community-sanctioned control methods. Other research has also noted that levels of distress among those experiencing violence and abuse were such that some women viewed self-harm and suicide as a strategy to deal with this, and services were only accessed at a point of crisis (Chantler et al., 2001; Chew-Graham et al., 2002; Siddiqui and Patel, 2010). The absence of social support and someone to confide in were key factors for women attempting suicide and self-harm (Chew-Graham et al., 2002:343).

The importance of viewing ‘suicide attempts and self-harming ... [as] a ‘rational’ response to violence and brutality, rather than a mental “illness”’, has been emphasised (Chantler, 2003:37). Others have also asserted that the mental ill-health experienced by those living in DVA situations should be regarded as the ‘symptoms of abuse’ (Humphreys and Thiara, 2003). Taking further note of the specificities of the intersectional experiences of Black and minoritised women enables support responses
to be better attuned to their needs. The body of research reviewed has emphasised the need for the provision of anti-discriminatory, intersectional and culturally sensitive service responses that have an insight into the wide-ranging abuse experienced by women. Indeed, available literature which highlights those service responses greatly valued by Black and minoritised survivors are located within arenas which take full account of their everyday lives and abuse contexts and provide holistic support, as discussed in section three.

**COVID-19, DVA and the impact on mental health**

It has been established that societal emergencies, including natural or man-made disasters, result in heightened prevalence of violence against women (see Gearhart et al., 2018). Indeed, during the COVID-19 pandemic, increased rates of DVA and femicide have been widely documented across the globe, exacerbated by restrictions on movement, narrowed routes to help and safety as well as economic and social stressors created by the pandemic (Bradbury-Jones and Isham, 2020; Roesch et al., 2020). Economic challenges wrought by unemployment and income insecurity have been disproportionately felt by marginalised communities alongside a greater burden of death and illness given their over-representation in sectors with reduced protection for workers. Disruption of social networks and greater social isolation, with limited routes to help, have especially impacted survivors in marginalised groups.

Women from Black and minoritised groups have been disproportionately impacted by the pandemic (Banga and Roy, 2020; Fawcett Society, 2020; Sheil, 2020). Heightened anxiety, fear, depression, suicide ideation and suicide attempts have been reported among women as existing trauma is aggravated during the pandemic (Thiara and Roy, 2021). Increased social isolation and lockdowns have created greater opportunities for abusers to impose coercive control, and to exploit and erode survivors’ mental wellbeing (Ali et al., 2021). What the fallout of this will be for survivors and the organisations supporting them remains to be evidenced, but there is likely to be high demand for domestic abuse support. This makes it all the more important that the wide-ranging effects of DVA and other forms of abuse are responded to through specialist support that recognises the complexity and nuance of differentiated experiences.
Section 2: Barriers facing Black and minoritised survivors attempting to access support for mental health/wellbeing in the context of DVA

Many anxieties are mobilised in highlighting issues of abuse, violence and distress in South Asian communities. These include how these aspects tend to be over-emphasised in many contexts (e.g. through the media), giving rise to racist interpretations of South Asian communities (Chantler, 2003:35).

There was for women a great amount of shame and embarrassment associated with psychological distress, which appeared to impact upon their disclosure of their suffering to others or seeking help (Graham and Clarke, 2021:143).

... we highlight the limitations of framing mental health policy and practice within a universalist approach that presumes equal entitlement is enabled by generic forms of service provision (Chantler, 2003:36).

A substantial focus of the surveyed literature is on survivors’ experiences of accessing and receiving help from mainstream services. By centring the narratives of women and practitioners, repeatedly highlighted are the lack of understanding and discriminatory responses encountered by Black and minoritised survivors as well as a wide range of barriers and gaps in service provision (Chantler, 2003; Mclean et al., 2003). It has been of concern for several decades that, despite the reported high rates of mental ill-health they experience, many survivors continue to underutilise support services, and often do not receive any positive support when they do so.

**Structural and cultural barriers**

The role of both ‘internal’ cultural factors and structural inequalities in reinforcing their exclusions and re/producing mental ill-health for survivors is extensively highlighted. For instance, immigration insecurity is identified to highlight how the intersection of state and familial oppression in a DVA context erodes mental health and wellbeing, given the high levels of immigration abuse by partners/families (for example, threatening a survivor with deportation if she reports DVA to the police) and discriminatory immigration policies (Chantler, 2003; Thiara, 2020). This illustrates how racist and patriarchal immigration laws align with patriarchy in Black and minoritised communities to oppress and silence women. Similar explanations – the cultural and/or the structural – are also provided for the underutilisation of services. It is likely that survivors encounter a range of cultural and structural barriers to accessibility and these
need to be addressed in a holistic response that takes account of both, without making assumptions that can result in inappropriate support being offered (Kalathil et al., 2011).

Numerous intersecting factors are identified across the different literature to explain the inability and/or reluctance of those with eroded mental health to seek help for their difficulties. This is noted to include: a lack of information and knowledge about available mental healthcare and other helping services; scepticism about counselling support; mistrust of both white (ignorance and inability to relate to specific contexts and experiences) and Asian (concerns about confidentiality and gossip) professionals; fear of being misunderstood and/or invalidated given experiences of racism; lack of cultural sensitivity or negation of cultural identity; inadequate language support; and the stigma and shame of acknowledging and speaking out about issues of mental ill-health (Bhardwaj, 2001; Gilbert et al., 2004; Meltzer et al., 2000; Prajapati and Liebling, 2021).

Further issues highlighted in the literature, mostly with regard to those experiencing mental ill-health, and which have been widely critiqued for locating barriers within communities, is an assumption that help-seeking is determined by ‘culture’ and religious beliefs; that there is inadequate understanding of mental health among Black and minoritised groups; that they somatise mental distress (see footnote 10, on p.14); that strong South Asian families offer protection against poor mental health; and that there is a failure to understand the link between physical illness and mental health (Fenton and Sadiq-Sangster, 1996:72). Whilst much of the literature focuses on South Asian groups, research also indicates that these barriers are profound for African and African-Caribbean women seeking help in relation to DVA, mental ill-health or both (Femi-Ajao, 2018; Femi-Ajao et al., 2020; McLean et al., 2003).

However, warning against an essentialisation12 of individuals, their cultures and communities, and in highlighting the severe forms of distress experienced by Black and minoritised women, others have argued that this is frequently a result of the failures of service provision offered to them (Chantler, 2003; Thiara and Roy, 2020), as a consequence of structural disadvantage and institutional discrimination (AVA 2021; Bignall et al., 2019; Royal College of Psychiatrists, 2018). Survivors indicate that they interact with a wide range of agencies that they do not feel have heard or understood

12 In the context of this literature review, ‘essentialise’ refers to the tendency to attribute to groups of Black and minoritised women defined characteristics (biological, cultural or behavioural) as though they are shared by all members of that group. Not only does this ignore diversity, but it also reinforces stereotyping and subordination and hence racism. The essentialising of Black and minoritised women is a key finding in a number of the studies that were reviewed.
them, or that respond in discriminatory ways. Repeatedly, literature identifies the poor responses from social and health care professionals, including GPs, mental health practitioners and therapists, housing and benefits agencies, police, immigration services, and even mainstream VAWG provision. For instance, it has been found that GPs are less likely to recognise mental distress among some Black and minoritised groups and less likely to make a referral to specialist mental health services than for other ethnic groups (Bhui et al., 2003). Moreover, they are more likely to receive psychiatric medication than a referral for talking therapies (Abel and Newbigging, 2018; AVA, 2021; Hussain and Cochrane, 2002). Thus, it is not just that Black and minoritised survivors face severe barriers to seeking help, but that when they do (often in desperation) it takes them several attempts and years of help-seeking to get the support they need and value; for some this has been reported to take as long as seven years (Thiara and Roy, 2020).

It is widely acknowledged in research that mental ill-health compounds stigma and shame in some communities, leading to pressure to cover up issues of DVA and mental ill-health within their social networks (Anand and Cochrane, 2005; Moller et al., 2016; Synergi Collaborative Centre, 2018). Arguably, such stigma exists in all majority and minoritised groups. For Black and minoritised women, the far-reaching ramifications of disclosing mental ill-health results in a silencing that creates a greater sense of helplessness, isolation and entrapment. This means that women are left to cope alone and draw on their personal resources through ‘coerced choice’ (Graham and Clarke, 2021; Kapadia et al., 2017:1312). Indeed, confidentiality is recognised as a major concern for some in smaller communities, including in the use of interpreters. This closes down avenues for help-seeking and further complicates women’s attempts to navigate a path to recovery (Anand and Cochrane; 2005; Bhardwaj, 2001; Hussain and Cochrane, 2003; Siddiqui and Patel, 2010). For instance, a lack of trust in mainstream agencies, alongside fear of community grapevine, prevented South Asian women living in smaller connected communities from accessing help for their problems and indicates this is an important area for attention in strengthening responses. That mainstream agencies were predominantly white, and the perception that they failed to understand their cultural contexts, and held racist stereotypical ideas about Asian women, all served to create reluctance to seek help and ‘engender feelings of helplessness about service provision’ (Chew-Graham et al., 2002:345; Kapadia et al., 2017). African-Caribbean and African women who were suffering from depression in McLean et al.’s (2003) study also reported the imposition by professionals of cultural stereotypes of them as strong and able to withstand any negative life events, as reflected in the notion of the SBW.
The collusion and/or the mirroring of responses between families and agencies, where women remain unheard, little understood and unsupported, and sometimes misdiagnosed as ‘mentally ill’, is a factor in their re-traumatisation and intensification of distress (Chantler, 2003; Thiara and Roy, 2020). Those who had attempted suicide and/or self-harmed related punitive and uncaring responses from their families and from mental health workers (Chantler, 2003:43). In the face of such responses, the importance of conducting full risk assessments in health settings, particularly A&E, to ascertain the level of care women are likely to receive from family is one of the recommendations made in the research. Women are further discouraged from seeking help because perpetrators frequently construct them as ‘mad’ in their interaction with professionals, make threats to take their children from them by using their mental ill-health, and child protection and other agencies, such as the courts, frequently consider the mental health and wellbeing of survivors in a negative manner when making decisions about children.

The identification of both structural and cultural factors in shaping the disclosure of mental ill-health and DVA and the multitude of barriers encountered in receiving positive responses from services suggests that both dimensions need to be addressed if Black and minoritised survivors are to be positively supported in their journeys of recovery.

**Responses from mental health services**

It is known that mental health services are underused by Black and minoritised women (Moller et al., 2016; Bignall et al., 2019). Where encounters with mental health services are reported, these are observed to raise a number of further issues. Inequities in access to primary and community care and treatment, the greater use of institutionalisation and coercive interventions such as sectioning and detention in place of therapeutic support and advocacy following a mental health crisis, and poorer rates of engagement with and recovery from generic IAPT\(^{13}\) interventions have been highlighted (Abel and Newbigging, 2018; Beck et al., 2019; Bignall et al., 2019; Independent Review of Mental Health Act, 2018; Sainsbury Centre for Mental Health, 2002; Synergi Collaborative Centre, 2018; Thomas, 2019). This wider context has a bearing on the ways in which Black and minoritised survivors experience their interactions with mental health support systems. Survivors of DVA and other forms of abuse being denied access to help as a result of a failure to meet the thresholds set by statutory mental health services has also been widely noted by VAWG practitioners.

---

\(^{13}\) Improving Access to Psychological Therapies programme began in 2008 in England as a treatment for adult anxiety disorders and depression.
Those who are able to gain access to such services report not being asked about the underlying causes of their symptoms or about the histories of abuse they have endured, sometimes since childhood (Karasz, 2005).

Further issues are highlighted by Black and minoritised survivors about their encounters with mental health practitioners, who are described as too busy, cold and lacking an insight into the ways in which abuse impacts their lives. Limited or no follow-up, a lack of continuity of care and an over-reliance on medication have also been identified. When responses were experienced as racist, where Black and minoritised survivors were judged not to be ‘genuine victims’ – ‘she doesn’t look like something happened to her’ – this stopped women from accessing further help to avoid such discriminatory responses (Thiara and Roy, 2020:44). For instance, an African-Caribbean survivor reported that, instead of focusing on delivering appropriate support for her mental distress, ‘...the therapist I was engaging with was ... looking at my relationship with authority. Apparently, I am defiant, I have an issue with NHS and all of these organisations and I don’t trust them! Well do you blame me?’ (Thiara and Roy, 2020:44). Another reported being administered 11 different medications over several years before happening to encounter a GP who made the time to ask and listen to the underlying causes of her distress (Thiara and Roy, 2020). More recently, a critique has emerged about the over-use of psychiatric labels and a pathologisation of mental distress among survivors of abuse through the use of unreliable diagnostic frameworks, rather than recognising and working from their strengths (Kalathil et al., 2011; for a further discussion see Watson, 2019).

…the accounts also highlight the need for working in ways which recognise and value people’s strengths, that resist labelling, and that work with an understanding, rather than dealing solely with the symptoms, of distress (Chantler, 2003:43).

Whilst the need for mainstream mental health interventions for some survivors cannot be overlooked, it is evident that not only does the mental health system frequently lack an understanding of the complex and multiple effects of abuse on survivors but that it reproduces racist stereotypes of Black and minoritised women in its responses. Institutional racism experienced within mental health care systems is noted to reproduce racialised harms and inequalities and further exacerbate mental ill-health and trauma (Abel and Newbigging, 2018; McLean et al., 2003; NHS England, 2020). Issues in relation to differences in the presentation of emotional distress have also been highlighted, with Black and minoritised groups noted to prefer a ‘holistic conceptualisation of distress’, one that takes account of ‘cultural traditions, social
circumstances and faith’ (Prajapati and Liebling, 2021:17). The dominant biomedical model\textsuperscript{14} of treatment based on Western concepts of mental illness is thus considered to be alienating to Black and minoritised women and impacts the quality of help and support received from mainstream mental health provision. Understanding the historical intersectional context of women’s lives and the processes of racialisation that add to the trauma of violence is regarded as imperative to more effectively facilitating Black and minoritised survivors’ healing (Washington, 2010).

\textit{Barriers created by COVID-19}

The COVID-19 pandemic has not only exacerbated DVA but restricted access to support and spaces for healing (Mahase, 2020; UN Women, 2020; Women's Aid, 2020). This is noted to disproportionately impact Black and minoritised survivors in accessing the sorts of help they find valuable from Black and minoritised organisations, as discussed in Section 3. Whilst all VAWG services had to rapidly reshape their delivery, for example to include online provision, greater challenges were encountered by specialist Black and minoritised organisations, which are among the most under-funded (Cortis \textit{et. al.}, 2021; Sheil, 2020). Survivors from these communities are also among the most impoverished in society which meant they often did not have the technology or IT skills to access remote support, something most acutely felt by migrant women with no recourse to public funds and those who were intensely socially isolated. Lockdown effectively removed the only sources of positive support and connection that many women had, and led to an intensification of mental ill-health, resulting especially in greater levels of reported anxiety, depression and suicide ideation (Thiara and Roy, 2021). In moving forward, whilst some women have valued the remote support made available to them, many Black and minoritised survivors who face intense social isolation are likely to want to recover the sense of connection offered through face-to-face and peer group support.

The box below is a summary of the barriers encountered by Black and minoritised survivors identified across the research literature.

\textsuperscript{14}The biomedical model views health purely in terms of biological factors and is focused on the individual, in contrast to the social model (of disability) for instance which locates barriers in society – both attitudinal and physical.
Structural/organisational

- Institutionalised racism - lack of understanding and awareness, stereotypical assumptions, and inappropriate and discriminatory responses on the part of agencies; negation of cultural identity and cultural pathologisation.
- Inappropriateness/Eurocentricity of assessment tools and lack of identification of needs.
- Structural factors such as poverty, disability, poor housing, unemployment.
- Imbalance of power and authority.
- Broader societal stigma and negative view of mental ill-health leading to fears about removal of children, negative labelling or compulsory hospitalisation.
- Professionals' lack of knowledge and understanding of mental health/wellbeing in the context of DVA.
- Immigration status – lack of access to statutory services due to lack of recourse to public funding; fear of detention and/or deportation.
- Language barriers and mistrust of interpreters.
- Concerns about (lack of) confidentiality.
- Inappropriate location of services and geographical distance from services.
- Difficulties in using public transport.
- Lack of childcare facilities.
- Long waiting lists for initial assessment.
- Lack of access to technology and online support.

Cultural/individual

- Negative perceptions and stigma associated with mental ill-health and mental health services.
- Family pressures and concerns about izzat and shame - reluctance to discuss and disclose both abuse and mental ill-health.
- Stereotypical assumptions about counselling and counsellors – e.g. white counsellors will be 'culturally ignorant' or even racist; counselling reinforces dysfunction and 'madness'.
- Stereotype of counselling as 'passive' and not proactive - direct advice and guidance valued over just listening.
- Counselling seen to be culturally incongruent - 'shameful' and stigmatising for the whole family.
- Concerns about confidentiality, leaking of information and gossip.
- Concerns about pathologising family and/or community and this resulting in greater racism.
- Women's understandings of their distress are different from those of mental health professionals.
- Survivors isolated/frightened by perpetrator.
- Fear of violence and being blamed.
- Lack of knowledge/awareness of different services.
- Lack of trust in professional interventions.
- Erosion of a strong sense of personal identity as a Black woman.
Section 3: Support valued by survivors in the recovery of their mental wellbeing from ‘by and for’ Black and minoritised organisations and specialist women’s services

As noted above, the impact of DVA and other forms of abuse is deeply corrosive for survivors’ mental health and wellbeing (Stockman et al., 2015). There is as yet only a small body of research that explicitly explores the views of Black and minoritised women who have experienced DVA about how services have met their mental health/wellbeing recovery needs (Memon et al., 2016). It is acknowledged that a consideration of gender and the distress and trauma created by intersecting forms of violence against women has yet to be mainstreamed within mental healthcare services (Abel and Newbigging, 2018; Spates, 2012), and that promotion of better services for Black and minoritised women relies on ‘considering the intersection between gender, ‘race’, sexual orientation, age, disability and other factors when developing accessible and appropriate services’ (p.4).

Against a background of recognised disparities in mental health provision for Black and minoritised survivors, this section consolidates findings from these different sources of knowledge, as well as drawing on the context already provided (including about barriers to access and use). It enables a more nuanced picture to be established in relation to those key themes that have emerged strongly from the review that can help inform future development and delivery of services (Anitha et al., 2009; AVA, 2021; Department of Health, 2010; Royal College of Psychiatrists, 2018; Siddiqui and Patel, 2010; EACH, 2009). Consistent with earlier sections of this report, the diversity of survivors is recognised throughout this section (Anand and Cochrane, 2005) and acknowledges that there may be conflicting findings and multiplicity of needs (Kalathil et al., 2011).

Value of dedicated ‘by and for’ VAWG organisations for Black and minoritised women

An overarching message from the literature is that dedicated ‘by and for’ VAWG organisations for Black and minoritised women experiencing DVA and mental ill-health are particularly valued by survivors (Siddiqui and Patel, 2010; Wright and Hutnik, n.d.; Yapp et al., 2018; EACH, 2009). These dedicated services are described as crucial in enabling Black and minoritised survivors to leave abusive relationships and to rebuild their lives in an ‘enduring’ way (Anitha et al., 2009:79). Studies report women who had used such ‘by and for’ integrated services for DVA and mental ill-health saying they were listened to, validated, and transformed (Anitha et al., 2009; EACH, 2009; Siddiqui and Patel, 2010; Thiara and Roy, 2020).
More generally, positive responses were also described in relation to specialist community-based services for Black and minoritised groups experiencing mental ill-health than mainstream mental health services. While such community-based services are able to develop relationships of trust and promote awareness and access to mental health services, it is acknowledged that they may lack expertise in DVA and other forms of abuse that is particularly required in the provision of support to survivors (Bignall et al., 2019). Therefore, whilst this section draws on all the research literature reviewed, the framework for thematic discussion derives from research about, and evaluations of, voluntary sector community based mental health services and dedicated ‘by and for’ VAWG services that are informed by the views of Black and minoritised survivors.

In meeting the recovery needs of Black and minoritised women who experience mental ill-health related to DVA and other forms of abuse, the following are particularly valued:

- An understanding of the social realities of the lives of Black and minoritised women.
- An intersectional understanding without essentialising the experiences of Black and minoritised women.
- Holistic, wrap-around services, including intersectional advocacy.
- Access to help and support is a process based on trust.
- A range of alternatives to the use of medication.
- Information about services and what these involve.
- Language support.
- Understanding role of religion/faith in coping.
- Respecting women’s strengths and coping strategies.
- Group work and being part of a peer support group.
- Multi-agency collaboration and involving survivors.
- Counselling that allows for choice.
- Specialist ‘by and for’ services for Black and minoritised survivors.

An understanding of the social realities of the lives of Black and minoritised women

A key finding of the review was the relevance of discrimination and oppression as a context to mental ill-health related to DVA for Black and minoritised women. It is clear from their accounts that racism is woven into the lives of Black and minoritised survivors and contributes to the negative impacts on their mental wellbeing. The realities of their lives involve dealing with the impact, not just of DVA and racism, but also a wide range of inequalities (AVA, 2021; Royal College of Psychiatrists, 2018; Siddiqui and Patel, 2010). Women’s narratives identify social, economic, cultural, familial and personal contexts as contributing to their mental health/wellbeing (Royal College of...
Psychiatrists, 2018). An understanding of such intersecting structural factors that lead to social exclusion needs to be incorporated into and inform the responses of those providing support to survivors. Many women argued that structural and institutional racism was indivisible from their experience of gender violence: ‘Black and minoritised survivors are being met with institutional racism at every junction, gravely obstructing the support they need. This demands a re-thinking and reforming of the systems and support currently available to survivors’ (AVA, 2021:9).

Survivors also recognise that multiple structural issues were integral to the barriers they faced, such as unemployment, poor housing, poverty, immigration insecurity, and lack of knowledge about services (AVA, 2021). The importance of addressing systemic intersectional inequality in immigration, housing and poverty for survivors of DVA and other forms of abuse is repeatedly underlined across much of the literature and cautions against mistaking ‘the structural for the cultural’ (Chantler, 2003:45). The critical and valuable role of dedicated Black and minoritised VAWG organisations in offering survivors a safe and healing space is also consistently highlighted in the literature.

Structural oppression amplifies the impact of trauma and constrains recovery. Taking account of such structural exclusions in the framing of service provision on the part of statutory and voluntary mental health agencies may require fundamental restructuring of services. Repeatedly underlined is the need for women to feel confident that they will not be pathologised and blamed for their circumstances in order to take up services. The centrality of gender to understanding DVA and mental ill-health and trauma is also critical. It may be particularly difficult for Black and minoritised women to trust male service providers to understand their experiences, and their experiences may mean that they just do not feel safe.

**An intersectional understanding without essentialising the experiences of Black and minoritised women**

An understanding of diverse intersectional and cultural contexts is seen as integral to an approach which challenges the intersectional discrimination encountered by Black and minoritised survivors (Anand and Cochrane, 2005; Bignall *et al.*, 2019; Kalathil *et al.*, 2011; Wright and Hutnik, n.d.). A number of studies showed that Black and minoritised women felt pressures from within, as well as outside of, their cultural contexts that inhibited their access to support services for mental ill-health (Kalathil *et al.*, 2011; Moller *et al.*, 2016; Siddiqui and Patel, 2010). It is important that this is recognised, but not in a way that essentialises communities and displaces intersecting structural considerations (Anand and Cochrane, 2005).
Instead of relying solely on dominant biomedical models and interventions, services need to incorporate an understanding of different cultural meanings and beliefs accorded to mental ill-health (Anand and Cochrane, 2005; Fenton and Sadiq-Sangster, 1996; Moller et al., 2016), together with an acknowledgement of the impact of social exclusion and racism (McLean et al., 2003). Without pathologising communities or cultures or falling back on a ‘cultural conflict’ explanation (Patel, 2005), this involves understanding the importance of somatised descriptions, where mental distress may be explained in terms of physical symptoms, and the significance of culturally mediated feelings of stigma and shame. It also involves recognising the impact of stereotypical assumptions that are often applied by professionals and services (of the Strong Black Woman, for example) (AVA, 2021). Black and minoritised women have a deep fear of contributing to essentialist attitudes towards their communities through disclosing DVA and/or mental ill-health and, alongside language barriers, is a commonly cited barrier to accessing services (Anitha et al., 2009; Thiara and Roy, 2020).

Where there is misinterpretation of normal responses as pathological, misdiagnosis can easily occur (McLean et al., 2003). Understanding distress also relies on recognising the impact of intergenerational racial trauma (Adkison-Bradley et al., 2009; AVA, 2021; McLean et al., 2003) and the conceptualisation of toxic black femininity (AVA, 2021) and how this shapes the strategies Black and minoritised women adopt to survive gendered racism. These understandings are intrinsic to the positive promotion of Black identity (AVA 2021; Kalathil et al., 2011; McLean et al., 2003); they need to be seen in culturally appropriate spaces and support services (McLean et al., 2003). If these are not provided this can re-traumatise survivors (AVA, 2021).

*Holistic, wraparound services, including intersectional advocacy*¹⁵
What emerges strongly from work with Black and minoritised survivors, and this is not surprising given the pervasive nature and impact of DVA and other aspects of oppression and discrimination, is the wide range of services that have been found helpful and healing (Anitha et al., 2009; Kalathil et al., 2011; McLean et al., 2003; Siddiqui and Patel, 2010). This resonates with the kind of services Black and minoritised women value in relation to mental ill-health (Kalathil et al., 2011; McLean et al., 2003). This range of services encompasses all aspects of women's lives, as they seek not only to recover

---

¹⁵ Intersectional advocacy recognises that Black and minoritised survivors rarely require help with a single issue and instead have multi-dimensional needs; thus ‘by and for’ organisations have to address the complex ways that gender, ‘race’, class and other social systems re/produce their marginalisation. This frequently results in discriminatory responses to survivors from mainstream service providers, which ‘by and for’ organisations challenge through their everyday advocacy work.
from the impact of DVA on their mental health/wellbeing, but also to re-establish their lives and reclaim their futures, for themselves and their children. In tune with awareness of the broader context of structural exclusion and inequalities, this is exemplified by the Southall Black Sisters (SBS) model (see Siddiqui and Patel, 2010). The SBS model combines a number of practical and therapeutic services in a flexible way. Safety is crucial for survivors (Siddiqui and Patel, 2010) and other therapeutic services are likely to be ineffective if women do not feel safe. In addition, women may be dealing with homelessness, poverty, and insecure immigration and displacement issues. Holistic wraparound services, including intersectional advocacy, are particularly valued when lives feel overwhelming, and approaching a multiplicity of formal services is daunting and even feared.

Individual trauma-informed counselling is valued by survivors, but this does not always address the complex realities of women’s lives. It has been repeatedly found that Black and minoritised survivors value both practical and emotional support from interventions (Anitha et al., 2009; Thiara and Roy, 2020; Wright and Hutnik, n.d.). Practical help is vital, and also helps build the trust that may enable women to take up other forms of support from agencies. Timeliness is also an issue, and on initial contact with agencies, survivors may not be ready to take up therapeutic support. Complementary therapies (yoga, massage, acupuncture, for example) are also appreciated in themselves and as a bridge to other interventions. A strength of dedicated ‘by and for’ Black and minoritised VAWG services especially, and some specialist women’s services who have thought through the issues for different groups of women, is the integrated and flexible nature of services (Anitha et al., 2009):

> Their long experience in working on this issue, their tendency to listen to the women, avoid colluding with the dominant attitudes to the abuse, their validation of women’s experiences, the combination of practical assistance as well as emotional support, as well as long term support makes them the ideal services in enabling women to restore their sense of self and sustain independent living (Anitha et al., 2009:82).

Other services mentioned included drop-in facilities, women’s groups, peer support, outreach, and support to transition from refuge to other accommodation. Some women do not want to leave the abusive relationship and their community but need support to deal with the abuse. Women who do eventually leave may do so as a last resort and need support to enable them to take that step (Thiara and Hussain 2005:7).
Access to help and support is a process based on reciprocity and trust

A number of studies have highlighted how women need time and space to talk freely and in depth about what has happened to them, and to feel safer and more confident in their help seeking (AVA, 2021; Kalathil et al., 2011). Moller et al.’s (2016) study, for example, found that initially women made partial disclosures when they approached GPs as they searched for responses to their mental ill-health. This is not unusual in relation to other forms of trauma, or indeed DVA, but likely to be pronounced given the evidence about women’s fears about shame, stigma and confidentiality (Anand and Cochrane, 2005; Chew-Graham et al., 2002; Patel, 2005). This constrains help seeking and indicates that time may be needed. When feeling safe and validated, women are more likely to talk fully about their experiences of DVA and how they think this has affected their mental health/wellbeing. This is most likely to be provided by dedicated Black and minoritised VAWG organisations. Following this support, they may feel more confident to approach a range of formal and informal sources of support, and able to engage with different interventions (Anitha et al., 2009; Siddiqui and Patel, 2010).

Kalathil et al. (2011) in their research with African-Caribbean and South Asian women about DVA and mental health, found that time and space were necessary so that women could make sense of what had happened to them, and the causes of their ill-health. Attesting to the complexity and multifaceted nature of need, they also identify opportunities and interventions which are valued, but which are not solely or directly trauma related. Women relate not just to a narrow view of recovery but also to overall empowerment. Importantly: ‘A friendly, long-term relationship with the health care provider may be an important factor in creating the interpersonal context for such a relationship’ (Karasz, 2005:179).

A range of alternatives to the use of medication

Acute concerns remain about the (over) medication with psychotropic drugs of women (particularly young women) in relation to their mental health/wellbeing in contexts of abuse (Abel and Newbigging, 2018; Siddiqui and Patel, 2010). As already noted, a number of studies have highlighted that when Black and minoritised women are able to access formal mental health services, they are more likely than white women to be offered medication and less likely to be offered talking therapies or other non-drug treatments. Medication does not address the underlying problem of mental ill-health and trauma created by DVA and other forms of abuse, the side effects are often debilitating and can threaten safety planning: ‘The doctor gave me tablets for depression, but after using it I used to feel so drowsy that I got scared and I decided not to use them again’ (Anitha et al., 2009:70).
This has been noted in relation to South Asian, African and African-Caribbean women, including those who have experienced DVA (Kaur-Aujla et al., 2019; McLean et al., 2003). It reinforces structural exclusion and reproduces health inequalities, not least because women are likely to find the financial and privatised nature of non-drug therapies to be prohibitive (Ahmad et al., 2005). Medicalisation, and the pathologisation of distress this entails, can also be used by perpetrators, families and professionals against women, in issues involving children for instance. The views of Black and minoritised women, and the dedicated ‘by and for’ organisations that support them, indicate that this is inequitable, unacceptable, and does not respond adequately to the complex social realities of their lives (Kalathil et al., 2011). The issue of medicalisation and its ramifications reinforces support for the approach of dedicated ‘by and for’ Black and minoritised organisations and specialist women’s services that offer a range of more bespoke support and interventions.

Information about services and what these involve
Many Black and minoritised women affected by DVA are socially isolated within their families and communities and their opportunities to gain information may be extensively compromised by the tactics of abuse inflicted by a perpetrator and worsened by the COVID-19 pandemic (Roesch et al., 2020). Women’s activities may be monitored and they may be accompanied when visiting health professionals, such as GPs and ante-natal care professionals, who could be important sources of information, particularly when DVA screening has been integrated within their practices. A number of the studies included the promotion of information-giving strategies to overcome barriers to access faced by Black and minoritised women (Anand and Cochrane, 2005; Anitha et al., 2009). Moller et al. (2016) noted the lack of publicity about DVA services in key locations and stressed the importance of providing such information; this was seen not merely as information giving, but also as awareness raising. Participants in Anitha et al.’s (2009) study felt that information should be placed in GPs surgeries, community centres, libraries and schools.

This was extended to include publicity campaigns, media events and community-based initiatives, although the political will from service providers to do so was doubted (McLean et al., 2003). Kalathil et al. (2011) also suggest that ‘developing a sense of social justice through community participation, campaigns for equality, campaigns against violence, involvement in user/survivor movements’ (p.76) was affirming. Beyond this, the importance of greater awareness material on mental health, trauma and forms of therapeutic support, including counselling, has been emphasised.
**Language support**

A strong finding across a number of studies was the barriers presented by language (Anitha et al., 2009; Moller et al., 2016), identified as one of the most significant factors to accessing services. This point goes further than just English language support and, as McLean et al. (2003) found, the language used to describe symptomology was not always understood. This was closely related to the degree of cultural awareness within the agency and amongst the staff offering services (Fenton and Sadiq-Sangster, 1996). A common fear noted among women is that if their meanings and expressions of distress are not understood, then misdiagnosis can occur. This fear deterred women from approaching mental health services or reduced confidence in using the service. Research indicates that the availability of sensitive and sympathetic support in appropriate languages with ‘unspoken’ understanding is crucial to enable women to rebuild their lives (Parmar et. al., 2005; Rai and Thiara, 1997; Thiara and Hussain, 2005):

> I can express my emotions in my own language. If they know our culture, it's better. I was with [BME worker] and I told her, if it was some other people I wouldn't start. Sometimes our emotions, we can't express in another language. I feel better, lighter after I meet her... someone who helps you emotionally, sits and listens to you, it's like an angel has been sent to you... (Thiara and Roy, 2020: 51).

Importantly, this is not just a consideration when English is a second language: ‘A voluntary worker mentioned that, while the need of other ethnic groups for interpreters or language support was recognised, an African-Caribbean vernacular is not perceived to require special interpretation’ (McLean, et. al., 2003:663)

The value of the use of interpreters in relation to counselling for trauma is highly debated and regarded as problematic. Research has noted difficulties with this (Siddiqui and Patel, 2010) and women are also distrustful (Moller et al., 2016). Given the difficulties of providing therapeutic support through interpreters, the importance of counselling in the first language has been emphasised by several researchers and organisations when reporting what survivors value (Anitha et al., 2009; EACH, 2009; Kalathil et al., 2011; Siddiqui and Patel, 2010).

**Understanding role of religion/faith in coping**

Faith and religious belief emerged strongly as a mode of coping with mental ill-health and DVA for a range of Black and minoritised women (Anand and Cochrane, 2005; Hussain and Cochrane, 2003; McLean et al., 2003; Wright and Hutnik, n.d.). This was important not just as a source of strength, but as a significant aspect of personal
identity. As part of the process of recovery and healing, ‘faith and/or personal spiritual grounding’ (Kalathil et al., 2011:9) may be intertwined with cultural identity and recognition of this positively supports a woman’s sense of self (Wright and Hutnik, n.d.): ‘I have received a lot of help. They understand my culture and religion and can see where I am coming from. It was easy for me to talk to them’ (Woman referring to dedicated support, Anitha et al., 2009:6).

It is also the case that some Black and minoritised women who have experienced mental ill-health as a result of DVA may have lost their faith, compounding other losses and trauma, and this should also be recognised.

Respecting women’s strengths and coping strategies

It is evident from the review that Black and minoritised women experiencing mental ill-health, including from DVA, relied mostly on themselves and developed their own coping strategies. This included prayer and crying (Hussain and Cochrane, 2003; Wright and Hutnik, n.d.), self-harm and attempted suicide (Chantler, 2003), and disordered eating (Thiara and Roy, 2020). In contexts of DVA, high levels of social isolation, fears instilled by the perpetrator that they might be made the subject of punitive mental health intervention or lose their children because they are ‘mad’, and stigma and shame associated with speaking out all led women to develop their own coping strategies (Anitha et al., 2009; Humphreys and Thiara, 2003).

A significant contribution made by dedicated Black and minoritised VAWG organisations and some specialist women’s services was to help women reframe their experiences and to view their coping as a source of strength (Thiara and Roy, 2020). When supporting agencies and professionals can recognise and harness women’s strengths, resilience and agency, this is valued by women and can contribute to recovery and empowerment (Kalathil et al., 2011). This includes involvement in peer group support and self-help groups, which provide a source of support and connection with other survivors, confidence building and recovery (Chantler, 2003; Thiara and Roy, 2020). This helped women to explore commonalities in experiences within and across different minoritised communities and facilitated a sense of solidarity and support in the face of racism and sexism, further helping to feel connected and to heal (Thiara and Roy, 2020).

Group work and being part of a peer support group

Although women often talked about shame and the negative reactions of their family and community members, the role of group work within dedicated services was positively evaluated as reflecting the valued support of new-found friends (Francis-Spence, 1997; Kalathil et al., 2011). Meeting women in similar circumstances, even in a
group counselling context, had the benefit of reducing the impact of pathologising and stereotyped responses that held women back in their recovery (Anitha et al., 2009; Kalathil et al., 2011; Siddiqui and Patel, 2010; Thiara and Roy, 2020). In the context of a peer support group, as well as individually, the validity of women's agency can be reinforced (Adkison-Bradley, 2009; McLean et al., 2003).

Sharing experiences is also an important step in moving away from individual medicalised approaches, and a number of studies highlighted that Black and minoritised women experiencing mental ill-health did not have access to this form of help and support. As noted by Kalathil et al. (2011) in relation to women's recovery: ‘Another key factor in moving towards recovery included addressing these conflicts within a collective setting in the context of shared understandings with other women in similar situations’ (p.39).

**Multi-agency collaboration and involving victim-survivors**

The need for greater cooperation/communication between statutory and voluntary sectors in order to strengthen responses to survivors with eroded mental health and wellbeing has been identified across the literature. The lack of understanding among practitioners in the different sectors poses great challenges given the increased divisions between services (silos) (Holly, 2017), the withdrawal of funding from voluntary sector services (Women's Aid, 2021b), and service level agreements that fund gender-neutral services (Hirst and Rinne, 2012).

A positive development over recent years that has received support is the greater inclusion of Black and minoritised women survivors in the design, delivery and evaluation of services (Goodman, et al., 2016), which has led to some changes in primary and acute services. Recognising survivors’ expertise, promoting their greater involvement in the development of services and making a commitment to addressing the intersectional disadvantage faced by the most marginalised groups in the design and delivery of services has been emphasised in recent research (Thiara and Roy, 2020).

**Counselling that allows for choice**

I did not feel comfortable with the counsellor…I just was not able to connect to her and felt very uncomfortable after ten minutes with her…I just wanted to leave the room. The whole atmosphere was very formal. I don't know, I felt very uncomfortable…I suppose I would have benefited from an Asian counsellor…someone who could understand how it is in Asian families. The shame of these issues makes it very difficult to talk about it (Anitha et al., 2009:75).
Many of the studies included in the review examined aspects of counselling (Kapadia, 2015; Kapadia *et al.*, 2017; Moller *et al.*, 2016). The issues that this revealed ranged from women’s lack of knowledge about counselling, their fears and anxieties about counselling, and their lack of confidence in the process of counselling. There were disparate views amongst Black and minoritised women about the cultural ‘matching’ of service user and counsellor, with some feeling they would benefit from this and others very definitely wanting to avoid it (*Moller et al.*, 2016). For example, some respondents in *Moller et al.*’s (2016) study with South Asian women felt that cultural matching would promote cultural understanding whilst others did not. Some respondents thought that white counsellors would be ‘culturally ignorant’ (p.205), whilst others felt that a white counsellor would be preferable and more likely to be non-judgemental.

At the heart of these considerations is the need for experiences and emotions to be understood within both a cultural and a structural context, including an understanding of the deep shame and stigma many women feel. Further, women have been noted to value ‘supportive and social or problem focused counselling approaches’ (*Karasz*, 2005:179). Many survivors continue to be sceptical about sitting and ‘talking’ with a counsellor they cannot relate to in a formal therapeutic relationship, though they may value speaking informally with someone they feel understands them (*Thiara and Roy*, 2020). For some women, religion is a key consideration in their choice of counsellor.

It is evident from the review that alongside trauma-informed counselling provided within a ‘by and for’ context, a sufficiently diverse range of counsellors and/or other professionals within mainstream services are required to enable women to have some level of choice and control in who they choose to form trusting relationships with. For survivors, having someone from a similar background to them, who understands the issues of trauma and mental wellbeing within a context of violence and abuse, to work with is shown to be of the greatest value.

**Specialist ‘by and for’ services for Black and minoritised survivors**

The weight of research reported in this review underlines the vital role of dedicated ‘by and for’ Black and minoritised VAWG organisations and specialist women’s services with an intersectional understanding in supporting survivors’ mental wellbeing and recovery in contexts of DVA and other forms of abuse. Women’s narratives repeatedly highlight the importance of such services in helping them to view their future more positively, to feel hopeful and to have greater self-esteem. While some women experiencing mental ill-health may value greater choice, dedicated ‘by and for’ VAWG organisations are particularly regarded, and documented, as the most helpful in assisting Black and minoritised survivors to address and recover from the mental ill-health created by DVA.
and other forms of abuse (Thiara and Roy, 2020). Being understood, feeling heard, made to feel safe physically and emotionally, and having those who ‘look like them’ support them ‘from the heart’ has been highlighted in the literature as qualities valued by survivors (AVA, 2021; Chantler, 2003:42; Kalathil et. al., 2011; Thiara and Roy, 2020). This encompasses many of the points made above about the kind of services that are needed and the ways in which these are provided (Anitha et al., 2009; Kalathil, et al., 2011; Siddiqui and Patel, 2010; Thiara and Roy, 2020). It also echoes several evaluations of DVA provision more generally (Hague and Mullender, 2006; Robbins and Cook, 2018).

The importance of a broader ‘understanding’ and empathy is underlined in addition to the value of similarity of ‘race’ and gender (as well as experience of mental ill-health) as a basis for understanding:

The importance of an attitude of care and a non-judgmental approach was often underlined; this not only enabled women to ‘speak’ and recover voice, but the depth of conversations, needed for healing and recovery, they were able to have with those they trusted were markedly different from any others... When this happened, women regarded it as a form of liberation (Thiara and Roy, 2020:50).
Summary

We should not underestimate the complexity involved in providing an appropriate and informed response to Black and minoritised women who experience mental ill-health as a result of DVA and other forms of abuse. Although challenging, understanding this complexity is vital in bringing their needs into view and ensuring better responses to their mental health and wellbeing. In this summary, we want to highlight some salient points that have emerged from this critical review of the literature and those most relevant to informing future developments in services.

- DVA is a criminal offence and erodes the mental health and wellbeing of survivors, alongside other negative impacts. This is the responsibility of perpetrators and not survivors. Many of the factors identified below will have been used by perpetrators to reinforce their abuse and to make sure that survivors are isolated and will not be believed, even when they manage to look for help.

- Living in a racist society and experiencing racism is indivisible from understanding the impact of DVA on Black and minoritised women. Racism intersects with gender and other forms of structural oppression that shape the realities of women’s everyday lives, and reflect entrenched inequalities with which they have to contend.

- Black and minoritised women do not constitute a homogenous group, even when they have common experiences of racism. The intersection of specific abuse contexts with structural inequality intensify and further nuance the experiences of mental health/wellbeing for Black and minoritised survivors. In mainstream provision, a ‘one size fits all’ approach has predominated, which takes inadequate account of the full range of differentiation and diversity among groups of women.

- There is now greater recognition of inter-generational trauma which is experienced and carried by Black and minoritised women who sit at the intersection of multiple forms of oppression and discrimination. The experience of DVA and other forms of abuse intersects with both historical and contemporary experiences of racism and creates specific impacts on women’s mental health and wellbeing. When we think of distress and trauma in relation to Black and minoritised survivors, and develop trauma-informed services, practice
development needs to be informed by an understanding of this complexity and intensity, both historical and contemporary.

- There are differing conceptualisations of mental ill-health amongst Black and minoritised groups which can inform the ways in which interventions are framed and implemented for diverse groups of Black and minoritised survivors. However, these are often displaced by dominant ideas and approaches to its diagnosis and medicalised treatment. This acts as a strong deterrent to seeking formal help and pushes women back into reliance on their individual resources and coping strategies.

- Considerable tension is created by the contrast in Black and minoritised survivors’ perceptions about the causation of their mental ill-health, and the role of structural factors in compounding this, and the individualising and pathologising approach of mainstream mental health services.

- Cultural explanations on their own are insufficient in understanding mental ill-health. Culture is dynamic and evolving, rather than static and homogeneous. The need to avoid the pathologisation of individuals and communities, whilst understanding the mediating, rather than the determining, role of cultural factors has been an important insight emphasised in the literature.

- Women’s recognition of their families’ and communities’ views about the stigma and shame of mental ill-health reinforces their silence. Gender violence also results in stigma and shame and may similarly render women’s accounts inadmissible. There is, then, an amplifier effect in relation to mental ill-health in contexts of DVA and other forms of abuse. An understanding of these dynamics is crucial in enabling survivors to break their silence and support their healing.

- Acknowledging the presence of shame within all communities (as culturally mediated) helps dismantle the influence of stereotypes that only associate shame with particular communities and serve to constrain women’s help-seeking.

- The more serious the mental ill-health, the greater the likelihood that DVA and other forms of abuse will remain or become invisible. When the mental health and wellbeing of Black and minoritised women is under focus, the underlying contributory factors of violence and abuse are left unexplored by mainstream
professionals and agencies. Again, this may reflect on a mental health system which is individualising and pathologising. It is also indicative of the imposition of racist stereotypes which contribute to misdiagnosis and overuse of medical treatment by professionals. The impact of structural factors and social processes, which are evident in women’s accounts, are often disregarded, particularly in mainstream services.

- Literature emphasises the implications of social and community isolation and the lack of support networks for Black and minoritised women experiencing DVA and other forms of abuse. Isolation from friends and family is often a primary objective of a perpetrator. In the process of recovery, it has been noted that women highly value time, space and opportunities to (re)connect with friends, peers and other survivors. An important aspect of this is the need for women to feel that a sufficient level of understanding exists and that they will be believed without judgement. The reinforcement of the coping strategies that women are known to value can reduce their mental distress.

- Black and minoritised women are not served well by mainstream primary health care and mental health services. This relates not just to their patterns of help-seeking, but also the kinds of responses received when mainstream services are contacted and accessed. It is well recognised that mental ill-health and trauma resulting from DVA and other forms of abuse is under-recognised and over-pathologised within mental health services. Issues raised include: inappropriate assessment frameworks; lack of recognition of the strengths of Black and minoritised women; cultural relativism; over medication; high levels of compulsory hospital admissions; criminalisation/diversion into criminal justice systems; and lack of access to talking or alternative therapies.

- For Black and minoritised women, there are profound fears about the consequences of accessing mainstream mental health services and the implications, for example, in relation to arrangements for child contact and residence and the intervention of child protection agencies.

- The lack of recourse to public funding for those with insecure immigration status is considered to be both inhumane and a denial of human rights, preventing access to services, even though women may be suffering high levels of mental distress as a result of DVA and other harm related to migration.
• Issues of mental health and wellbeing are produced, harnessed and deployed within strategies of abuse by perpetrators, including in post-separation violence. This can and does include making threats about detention or deportation or the removal of children by the state. The precarity for women in these circumstances cannot be overstated in relation to their psychological and physical wellbeing, and sometimes it is fatal through murder or suicide.

• There are some Black and minoritised women about whom far too little is known, and, therefore, their invisibility remains pronounced. This includes women who are: from traveller or Roma groups; disabled; in prison populations; sex workers; women who have been trafficked; and those who are in the process of or who have transitioned in relation to their gender identity as women.

• The literature that explicitly explores the relationship between DVA and other forms of abuse, Black and minoritised women and mental health is limited. There are similarities, but also differences, between the histories and experiences of Black and minoritised women. In order to develop greater understanding and to strengthen responses, further research is required on these linkages amongst diverse groups of Black and minoritised women as well as what support and interventions are found to be most effective to facilitate healing and recovery.

• Dedicated 'by and for' Black and minoritised VAWG services, where they exist, are highly valued and positively evaluated for understanding their abuse and intersectional contexts by Black and minoritised survivors. They are considered able to provide timely, community-based holistic wraparound support, including intersectional advocacy and therapeutic services, with a value base that is anti-discriminatory. They embody flexibility, work to women's strengths and affirm their experiences, and are shaped by what women find helpful and empowering for their recovery.

Key considerations - in addressing the mental health and wellbeing of Black and minoritised survivors in contexts of abuse

This review of available literature constitutes a body of evidence that emphatically points to the links between mental ill-health and DVA/other forms of abuse, a failure of
existing mental healthcare responses, and a generalised lack of understanding among mainstream provision about the complex ways in which trauma is experienced and re/produced for Black and minoritised survivors. The literature also highlights what survivors find helpful in their journeys to recovery and there is some evidence of promising practice in responding to their intersectional needs. Overwhelmingly, the evidence points to the importance of supporting the mental health and wellbeing of Black and minoritised survivors through dedicated services underpinned by an intersectional understanding which take account of their multiple abuse contexts and locate mental ill-health within broader processes of structural marginalisation. Some key considerations for future policy and practice development from the review are as follows:

- All available evidence suggests a need to ensure that dedicated ‘by and for’ Black and minoritised VAWG services are sustainably funded and widely available, including to provide mental health support. When adequately resourced, such services play an invaluable role in helping survivors to recover and heal. These services recognise the wider intersectional context of survivors’ experiences and use a strengths-based trauma-informed approach to support women’s mental health and wellbeing through integrated community-based, holistic services that are highly valued by survivors. Further, consideration is required of survivors who are deemed to have no recourse to public funds. Providing such services is cost effective and a form of early intervention and prevention.

- Survivors of DVA and intersecting abuse are highly likely to be misdiagnosed and offered medicalisation by statutory services, due to inadequate recognition and understanding about the impacts of abuse as well as the existence of discriminatory stereotypes about Black and minoritised survivors. Recognising the barriers these dual pathologising processes create for access to support and how practice can be strengthened is a necessary underpin to improving the responses of a range of practitioners, including acute and mental health services. This is required at both healthcare policy (greater emphasis on the health consequences of intersecting forms of abuse) and operational levels (training for enhancing confidence and practice development).

- Improving the understanding of all practitioners and service providers about the role of DVA and intersecting abuse in creating trauma for survivors is required as well as the ways in which perpetrators ‘weaponise’ women’s mental health in their dealings with professionals and agencies. The impacts of DVA and
intersecting abuse on Black and minoritised survivors’ mental health and wellbeing should be made a focus in professional learning, continuing development and inter-agency training programmes. Building knowledge and confidence through training should not be underestimated as it provides a context for practitioners to learn, including how perpetrators can manipulate women’s mental health, and develop non-pathologising and creative strategies for better addressing the needs of those who are currently poorly served by services.

• Healthcare professionals are powerfully placed to respond to survivors and are widely accessed, especially in acute services. Building knowledge about the linkage between mental ill-health and intersecting forms of abuse and the specific issues this creates can help to counteract the medicalised and discriminatory responses to Black and minoritised survivors. Further, routine enquiry and referral to specialist support, including dedicated ‘by and for’ VAWG services where they exist, should be made and access to non-medical non-pathologising therapies explored.

• Co-ordinated responses between statutory, voluntary and dedicated VAWG services and local pathways to access and support can be improved through strengthening inter-agency partnership working and a sharing of knowledge and expertise. Learning lessons from dedicated ‘by and for’ services about what is valued by survivors can feed into reframing mainstream provision. This may serve to prevent more expensive, pathologising and retraumatising routes of support and treatment.

• Given the dominance of medicalised responses that often sideline the views and conceptualisations of Black and minoritised groups, ensuring assessment frameworks within mental healthcare and other key statutory services are adequate and informed by the lived experiences of Black and minoritised survivors is absolutely key to positively addressing their needs. Again, learning gained about what works by dedicated ‘by and for’ services in supporting survivors is a necessary dimension of this reframing.

• The reviewed literature provides some insight into the issues and challenges encountered by Black and minoritised survivors with regard to their mental health and wellbeing in contexts of intersecting abuse. Further national and local research is required on these issues which centres the lived experiences of
diverse groups of Black and minoritised women and which is directed towards improvements in service responses. Further, there is a need to identify and evaluate interventions that already exist to generate and disseminate further evidence of promising practice in meeting the needs of Black and minoritised survivors.
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